UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,552	07/24/2003	Sergio Landau	BJT 341RI	3550
Peter E Heuser	7590 04/16/201	EXAMINER		
Kolisch Hartwe		GILBERT, ANDREW M		
200 Pacific Building 520 SW Yamhill Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Portland, OR 97	7204		3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2010	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/627,552	LANDAU, SERGIO		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ANDREW M. GILBERT	3767		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address		
Period for Reply	N V IO OET TO EVEIDE AMON	TI ((0) OD TI IIDTY (00) DAYO		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the specific sp	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/627,552 Page 2

Art Unit: 3767

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Reissue Applications

1. The present case is a reissue of U.S. Pat. No. 6264629.

# Acknowledgments

- 2. This office action is in response to the reply filed on 7/20/2009.
- 3. In the reply, the applicant merged the allowed claims from DIV 11/649396 into the present case. The merging of allowed claims pursuant to 37 CFR 1.177(c) obviates the rejection based on lack of reissuable error under 35 USC 251 in the present case (see also MPEP 1450).
- 4. The entire prosecution history of DIV 11/649396 is hereby incorporated by reference. As all claims of DIV 11/649396 have been merged into the present case DIV 11/649396 has been abandoned.
- 5. Prosecution in present case of claims 1-20 is hereby unsuspended.
- 6. Thus, claims 1-35 are pending for examination.

### Reissue Applications

#### Oath/Declaration

- 7. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.
- 8. To identify the error, it is sufficient that the reissue oath/declaration identify a single word, phrase, or expression in the specification or in a specific original claim, and how it renders the original patent wholly or partially inoperative or invalid. The

Application/Control Number: 10/627,552 Page 3

Art Unit: 3767

oath/declaration filed on 5/14/2007 fails to do so. This issue is similar to the discussion of the defective Oath in DIV 11/649396 – which the applicant should review (see at least Non-Final Rejection mailed on 8/20/2008 and the applicant's response on 10/9/2008).

- 9. For example, consider that the applicant's has a patent which claims in the sole independent claim several elements, including an orange; the original patent discloses that any citrus fruit may be used in the invention such as orange, lemon, grapfruit, or lime. Applicant files a reissue to broaden the claim from being limited only to an orange. The applicant may state in this the part of the oath for example: "Applicant has claimed less than he had a right to claim. Original patent claim 1 was limited to only "an orange", but the invention is more broadly drawn to "any citrus fruit"." Then the applicant can likewise amend claim 1 to no longer be limited to only an orange. The Applicant has to point to at least one specific claim, some specific language, and how it renders the claim inoperative or invalid (i.e. too broad, too narrow, etc.)
- Claims 1-35 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue oath under 35
   U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the oath is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO 892 Form.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW M. GILBERT whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/627,552 Page 4

Art Unit: 3767

(571)272-7216. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm Monday

through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571)272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew M Gilbert/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767 /Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767